## Chapter 2 <br> Basics of Monte Carlo Simulation

The difficult part of doing simulations by hand is establishing the column headings. Of course such headings are not unique, but we give below suggestions for the headings and some entries for the problems that do not have tables already given by example in the text. For random numbers, students may use either the random numbers on their calculators or from Excel.

## 2.1.

## Random laws

coin toss:
$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ gets in; $\mathrm{T} \rightarrow$ does not get in
die toss:
$1 \rightarrow \$ 0 ; 2,3,4 \rightarrow \$ 60 ; 5,6 \rightarrow \$ 100$

| Time Coin Gets Die Sales Total Avg. toss in? toss price |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H | Y | 2 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| 2 | H | Y | 6 | 100 | 160 | 80 |
| 3 | T | N | - | 0 | 160 | 53.33 |
| 4 | H | Y | 1 | 0 | 160 | 40 |
| 5 | T | N | - | 0 | 160 | 32 |
| 6 | H | Y | 5 | 100 | 260 | 43.33 |

Theoretical value $=0.5 \times(0.5 \times 60+0.333 \times 100)=\$ 31.67$
2.3.

Since the problem gives the modulus, only the multiplier need be given to define the generator. Anyone of the following will produce a full period: $3,11,12,13,17,21$, 22, 24.
2.5.

Schrage suggested $m=2^{31}-1$ and $a=16,807$. The first three seeds and random numbers are $S_{1}=1,697,507 ; R N_{1}=0.0008 ; S_{2}=612,712,738 ; R N_{2}=0.2853$; $S_{3}=678,900,201 ; R N_{3}=0.3161$.
2.7.

Three iterations of L'Ecuyer's generator produces the following

| $k$ | $S_{k}$ | $T_{k}$ | $U_{k}$ | $R N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2 | 376810349 | 3650079346 |  |  |
| -1 | 1545165407 | 1898051052 |  |  |
| 0 | 3443838735 | 2606578666 |  |  |
| 1 | 4169848126 | 774095269 | 3395752857 | 0.7906 |
| 2 | 1078292449 | 2756964901 | 2616294635 | 0.6092 |
| 3 | 2317335712 | 4196546513 | 2415756286 | 0.5625 |

## 2.9.

There is more than one way to simulate most problems and this is no exception. For illustrative purposes, we suggest the all five items be placed in the box and then sampled. Two tables will have to be built: one to build the boxes and one to sample the boxes.

Conversion from random numbers to events:
$[0.0,0.2) \rightarrow$ bad item; $[0.2,1.0] \rightarrow$ good item
$\lfloor 1+R N \times 5\rfloor=$ number of item selected
(Note that $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ denotes truncation.) If the item of the second item selected equals the first item selected, then another random number will be selected.

The following is the table giving the simulation of the packaging of the boxes. The random number indicates whether or not an item that was placed in the box is good or bad.

| Box <br> number | Random <br> numbers | Status of <br> items in box <br> (listed in order) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.9019,0.3689,0.2013,0.8849,0.5020$ | $\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 2 | $0.4779,0.5881,0.9000,0.7879,0.7121$ | $\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 3 | $0.1472,0.9092,0.3621,0.7132,0.6690$ | $\mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}$ |
| 4 | $0.3953,0.1110,0.8129,0.6077,0.1828$ | $\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~b}$ |
| 5 | $0.5929,0.3456,0.2016,0.9739,0.2822$ | $\mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | $\vdots$ |

The following is the simulation of the inspection process and the shipping of the boxes that passed inspection. The random number indicates which item is selected. If the second random number generated indicates the same item as the first, then another random number is generated. The box number and the indication of which item is bad come from the first table.

| Box <br> number | Random <br> numbers | Items <br> selected | Box <br> shipped | Cum. <br> defects | Cum. <br> boxes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.4703,0.8872$ | $\# 3, \# 5$ | no | - | - |
| 2 | $0.5035,0.2335$ | $\# 3, \# 2$ | yes | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | $0.6576,0.5989,0.2047$ | $\# 4, \# 2$ | yes | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | $0.4328,0.2419$ | $\# 3, \# 2$ | no | 1 | 2 |
| 5 | $0.1215,0.6602$ | $\# 1, \# 4$ | yes | 1 | 3 |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  | $\vdots$ |

### 2.11.

(a) $5.878,1.204,2.248,10.637$ (It would also be acceptable to have the values $1.045,5.388,3.376,0.290$ since either mean $\times \ln (R)$ or mean $\times \ln (1-R)$ is technically correct.)
(b) 4.666, 2.475, 3.177, and 5.915. (However, the transformation could have used $\ln (1-R)$ also, which would yield $2.339,4.506,3.738$, and 1.401.)
(c) The random numbers using the Box Muller procedure depends on the pairing. When $R_{1}=0.23$ and $R_{2}=0.74$, we obtain standard normal variates of -0.185 and -2.934 . When $R_{1}=0.57$ and $R_{2}=0.07$, we obtain standard normal variates of 1.017 and 0.479 . Thus, the normal random variates with a mean of 4 and a standard deviation of 2 are $3.630,-1.868,6.034$, and 4.958 .
(d) The data must be ordered and an empirical distribution drawn (see the final section in this chapter). Each data point is within a cell containing probability equal to 0.05 (i.e., $\frac{1}{\text { no. data points }}$ ). The empirical distribution is a piecewise linear function with linear interpolation occuring between the following points: $(5.65,0.05),(6.85,0.10),(7.10,0.15)$, etc. The random variate are 8.0 , 12.99, 11.56, 6.13.

### 2.15.

Note that the time units for both parts were chosen to be hours.
(a) $\alpha=1.642$ and $\beta=89.427$
(b) $\mu_{N}=0.85536$ and $\sigma_{N}=0.34909$
(c) $\rho \approx-0.81$.

